



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/685,150 | 10/14/2003 | EikFun Khor | STL11368 | 4802 |

7590 11/13/2007
David K. Lucente, Seagate Technology LLC
Intellectual Property-COL2LGL
389 Disc Drive
Longmont, CO 80503

| EXAMINER |
|----------|
|----------|

SNIEZEK, ANDREW L

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2627

| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|
|-----------|---------------|

11/13/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

10/685150

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|
| APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER

20071106-B

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The following action is taken in view of the amendment filed 9/5/07. The reply filed on 9/5/07 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicant has not provided reasons why the claims as amended define over the references to Chainer et al. Takaishi et al. and Lee as applied. It is noted that the discussed examiners amendment as pointed out in the previous office action (to define over Chainer et al.) was not entered in view of the newly discovered art to Hasagawa et al. that has been applied. Since this examiners amendment was not entered, applicant must make of record why the claims as presently amended define over each of the above noted applied art and examiners remarks concerning this art in the office action mailed 6/6/07. Examiner does note applicants arguments provided with respect to the newly applied reference to Hasagawa et al. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

In response to applicants request for a telephone interview, applicant is requested to contact the examiner if desired to discussed any amendment being made and remaining issues.

Andrew L. Sniezek
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2627